



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO.
10/050928	01/22/2002	KAWAI ET. AL	218353USO

EXAMINER	
U. K. RAJGURU	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1711	—

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Harris Pitlick (Attorney) (3) —
(2) Umakant Rajguru (Examiner) (4) —

Date of interview Jun 22, 2004

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed: Claim 1 in particular and remaining claims

Identification of prior art discussed: Mayer et al (US 5322866) and other references used in earlier rejection.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney Pitlick proposed to amend claim 1 to obviate rejection under 112, second paragraph, by including limitation of dependent claim 10 into it. He would set forth his arguments for the word "fine" used in claim 1. He would further amend claim 1 to recite that EVOPH is melted before blending, as set forth in specification p. 3, lines 4-8.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

U. K. Rajguru